



Universal Architectures for Progressive Machine Learning: Model, Performance Evaluation and Applications

John S. Baras

(joint work with Christos N. Mavridis) Institute for Systems Research ECE, CS, ME, AE, BioEng., DOIT, AMSSC University of Maryland College Park USA

> January 15, 2024 AUTH Thessaloniki, Greece



Towards Intelligent Autonomous Systems









Control, Optimization, and Learning



Dynamical Systems - Recursive Algorithms

Control Optimization

Mathematica I Analysis

> Signal Processing Information

Theory Learning

Neuroscience

Computational

Science

Data Science

Robotics Multi-Agent Systems Autonomous Vehicles Communication Networks



Progressive Decision Boundary



Initial random weights

































Learning Properties in Cyber-Physical Systems

Continuous/Dynamic/Adaptive Process

> Interpretation

- Why and when doesn't it work?
- Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

Robustness

- Model uncertainty, overfitting, etc.
- Transfer to real system?

Time and Memory Efficiency

- Real-time?
- Processing/Communication bandwidth
- Hyperparameter-tuning
- Performance-Complexity Trade-off
- Progressive Learning?







- Formally Analyze Learning as a Dynamic Process of acquiring new understanding, knowledge, or skills
- Investigate Learning with Progressively Growing Knowledge Representations for Decision-Making Systems
- Towards a Neuroscience-inspired Universal Learning Algorithm: Hierarchical, Memory-based, Progressive, Interpretable, Robust
- Adaptive Space Aggregation for
 Memory-Efficient Reinforcement Learning in Robot Control
- Progressive Graph Partitioning and Image Segmentation





Learning as a Dynamical System

Towards Universal Learning Architectures

Multi-resolution-group invariance, local learning

Progressive Learning, On-Line

Definition, Properties, Results

> Applications to CPS

The

Outline

- Robust Reinforcement Learning
- CPS Security
- **Robotics & Multi-Agent Systems**

Future Research Directions

Hierarchical Learning Stochastic Optimization **Knowledge Representation** Interpretable ML

> **Risk-Sensitive RL Explainable RL** Swarm Dynamics **CPS Security Community Detection Influence Graphs** Human-Robot Collaboration













Multi-Resolution ODA (MNIST)



Representations generated by the first two layers of a multi-resolution ODA algorithm for the MNIST dataset. Input: low-resolution images from wavelet analysis (14x14 pixels). Accuracy: 97.2% (can go up to 100% in training data). The neurons represent different deformations of each digit. The relationship between them can lead to the identification of better features and invariances.





Feature Hierarchies





object models

object parts (combination of edges)

edges





Group-Invariant Representations

Wavelet Transform

- Multi-Resolution Analysis
- Sparse, Stable, Translation Covariant

Convolution on Groups

$$(f*g)(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)d\lambda(y)$$

where for a Lie Group G:

Locally Invariant Representations

Repeat

- Build group-covariant representations (wavelets)
- Make them locally invariant (non-linearity + averaging)

 $g \in G \to g.f(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$









Institute for Vistems Research Some Current Deep Architectures Research





(Poggio) CZ Layer [r1 r2 ... rd] d feature responses global max 52 Layer $[r_1 \ r_2 \ ... \ r_d]$ d feature responses per location 4160 x d features [00009]

HMAX



Hinton et al. (2006)



 U_0

Early Hierarchical Feature Models for Vision



- [Hubel & Wiesel 1962]:
 - simple cells detect local features
 - cells within a retinotopic neighborhood.



STIVERSITL Institute for **ystems** Research **The Convolutional Net Model Multistage Hubel-Wiesel System** Pooling: Convs: Convolutions w/ Pooling: Convs: Object Local Divisive Linear 20x4x4 800x7x7 filter bank: 20x4x4 100x7x7 Categories / Positions Classifier Normalization kernels 20x7x7 kernels kernels kernels kernels





Multiresolution Preprocessor: Auditory Filtering (Shamma 2003)



Two auditory filters, motivated and designed according to acoustic physiology and acoustic cortex models, were used to compute the timbre spectrogram of one particular subframe in each frame



- The first filter mimics the action of the inner ear
- Computes the spectrogram of the sound sample, and performs various nonlinear operations, which models the nonlinear fluid-cilia couplings and ionic channels of conduction

(Wavelet Transform)



Spectro-temporal Processing: Multiresolution Preprocessor -- Auditory Filtering



Multiresolution cortical filter outputs





- The second filter models the multiscale processing of the signal that happens in the auditory cortex
- A Ripple Analysis Model, using a ripple filter bank, acts on the output of the inner ear to give multiscale spectra of the sound timbre (Wavelet Transform)



"One Learning Algorithm" Hypothesis









Andrew Ng – Google Brain



The Man Behind the Google Brain: Andrew Ng and the Quest for the New Al

THERE'S A THEORY that human intelligence stems from a single algorithm.

The idea arises from <u>experiments</u> suggesting that the portion of your brain dedicated to processing sound from your ears could also handle sight for your eyes. This is possible only while your brain is in the earliest stages of development, but it implies that the brain is -- at its core -- a general-purpose machine that can be tuned to specific tasks.

About seven years ago, Stanford computer science professor Andrew Ng stumbled across this theory, and it changed the course of his career, reigniting a passion for artificial intelligence, or AI. "For the first time in my life," Ng says, "it made me feel like it might be possible to make some progress on a small part of the AI dream within our lifetime."

"<u>one algorithm</u>" hypothesis, popularized by Jeff Hawkins

Google Brain







- A robust and interpretable alternative approach based on the same principles?
 - a) multi-resolution analysis
 - b) group-invariant representation
 - c) hierarchical, knowledge-based decision-making







Towards a Universal Learning Architecture (cont.)

Dynamic Learning

I. Neurons live in the data space

- Interpretability
- Robustness w.r.t. perturbations and adversarial attacks
- Vector Quantization?

II. Progressively Growing

- Performance-Complexity Trade-off
- No over-fitting

III. Annealing Optimization

- Robustness w.r.t. initial conditions
- No poor local minima
- Gradient-Free Stochastic Approximation







Let's Go Back in Time



Progressive Classification: Universal Algorithms and Applications

John S. Baras

Electrical Engineering Department and Institute for System Research University of Maryland College Park

Visiting EECS and LIDS, MIT

LIDS Colloquium March 10, 1998



- Small amounts of information in the form of a coarse approximation of the signal, are used first to provide partial classification
- Progressively finer details are added until satisfactory performance is obtained
- **Approach results in a scheme where:**
 - Small amounts of computation are used initially (at coarse level)
 - Additional computations (more detailed) are performed as needed
- Approach leads to:
 - Faster classification algorithms (faster search)
 - Algorithms that preserve high fidelity in the search (the challenge)
 - Easily parallelizable algorithms



Successive refinement from a coarse description \hat{X}_1 with distortion D_1 to a finer description \hat{X}_2 with distortion D_2 can be achieved iff the conditional distributions $P(\hat{x}_1 | x)$ and $P(\hat{x}_2 | x)$, which achieve $I(X; \hat{X}_i) = R(D_i), \quad i = 1, 2$, are Markov compatible: we can write $\hat{X}_1 \rightarrow \hat{X}_2 \rightarrow X$ as a Markov chain



- **Conditions rarely satisfied;** examples where they are satisfied:
- Gaussian signals with squared-error distortion
- Finite alphabet signals with Hamming distortion
- Laplacian signals with absolute-error distortion

Minimize Average Squared Error from using a few bits to describe X~N(0,1)

28

Multiresolution and Learning



- Address both the hierarchical organization of signal databases and progressive classification:
 - Combine a multiresolution preprocessor with
 - a learning clustering postprocessor
 - Feedback is also an option
- Resulting algorithms proved to have some "universal" qualities
- **•** Found analogs of such algorithms in animals and humans:
 - Hearing and sound classification
 - Vision and identification of objects by humans
- Most promising mathematical formulation of the problem: combined compression and classification for general signals

29



Scale-Space Diagrams of Radar Returns





<u>Wavelet Tree-Structured Vector</u> <u>Quantization</u>



First perform a multiresolution wavelet representation of the signals Consider each signal f at different resolutions $S^0 f, S^1 f, ..., S^{J^*} f$ Proceed by partitioning the signal space at various resolutions in progressively finer cells Layer in tree $l = J^* - m_r$ *m* the scale (top layer 0: coarsest) Cell labels: (layer, index) or (scale, index)



Multiresolution Aspect Graph: Radar Data



32



- Data driven; uses past data directly in the classification scheme
- Does not assume any models for underlying data П



- Estimates the decision regions
- directly
- Training phase and classification phase
- •Training phase:
 - Z = training data = $\{(y_n, d_{y_n})\}_{n=1}^N$

Voronoi vectors = $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_k\}$

- Pick $z_j = (y_j, d_{y_j})$ from Z and find ρ closest vector $\theta_c \left\{ d_{\theta_1}, d_{\theta_2}, \dots, d_{\theta_k} \right\}$ Modify θ_c as follows $\theta_c(n+1) = \theta_c(n) - \alpha_n \nabla_{\theta} \rho(\theta_c(n), y_j)$ if $d_{y_i} = d_{\theta_c}$ $\theta_{c}(n+1) = \theta_{c}(n) + \alpha_{n} \nabla_{\theta} \rho (\theta_{c}(n), y_{j}) \text{ if } d_{y_{j}} \neq d_{\theta_{c}}$
- **Continue until convergence**





- □ Classification phase: for new observation *x* declare $d_x = d_{\theta_i}$ if $x \in V_{\theta_i}$
- **LVQ** adjustment has the general form

$$\theta_i(n+1) = \theta_i(n) + \alpha_n \gamma(d_{y_n}, d_{\theta_i}(n), x_n, \Theta_n) \nabla_{\theta} \rho(\theta_i(n), y_n)$$

$$\gamma(d_{y_n}, d_{\theta_i}(n), y_n, \Theta_n) = -1_{\{y_n \in V_{\theta_i}\}} (1_{\{d_{y_n} = d_{\theta_i}\}} - 1_{\{d_{y_n} \neq d_{\theta_i}\}})$$

$$\Theta_{n+1} = \Theta_n + \alpha_n H(\Theta_n, z_n) \text{ ; stochastic approximation}$$
$$z_n = (y_n, d_{y_n})$$

D For appropriate conditions on α_n , H, z_n , Θ_n approaches the solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\Theta}(t) = h(\overline{\Theta}(t))$$

for appropriate $h(\Theta)$





Stochastic Approximation

Theorem. Almost surely, the sequence:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \alpha(n) \left[h(x_n) + M_{n+1} \right], \ n \ge 0$$
(1)

converges to a (possibly sample path dependent) compact, connected, internally chain transitive, invariant set of the o.d.e:

$$\dot{x}(t) = h(x(t)), \ t \ge 0,$$
 (2)

provided that:

(A1)
$$h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$$
 is Lipschitz.

(A2)
$$\sum_{n} \alpha(n) = \infty$$
, and $\sum_{n} \alpha^{2}(n) < \infty$

(A3) $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale difference sequence

(A4) $\{x_n\}$ remain bounded a.s.

Examples:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} -\nabla J(x), \text{ SGD} \\ F(x) - x, \text{ Fixed-Point Iter.} \end{cases}$$

*Borkar, Stochastic approximation: a dynamical systems viewpoint, Springer, 2009



Combined Compression and Classification

- Given a encoder-decoder pair γ , δ we associate the average distortion $D(\gamma, \delta) = E[\rho(x, \delta(\gamma(x)))]$
- **Associate the rate** $R(\gamma, \delta)$ to a encoder-decoder pair γ, δ
- **Given a classification rule** *d*, the classification performance of the overall scheme can be measured by the Bayes risk

$$J_{B}(\gamma, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} P(d(\gamma(x))) = H_{j} | x \in H_{i}) P(H_{i}) C_{ij}$$

- where C_{ij} is the relative cost assigned to the decision that d(γ(x)) = H_j, while the vector x comes from class H_i (typically C_{ij} = 0)
 Encoder δ does not affect the Bayes risk J_B
- Incorporate Bayes risk into the average distortion measure minimized by the design algorithm
- Resulting algorithm has complexity equivalent to that of an ordinary VQ algorithm

36


Overall approach is non-parametric:

probability distributions for the data are not needed

- Approach can be interpreted as using the training set to learn the empirical distributions of the vectors and use them as if they were true (like in LVQ)
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline & Combine the three criteria in one for some choice of the weights λ_R and λ_B \end{tabular}$

$$J_{\lambda}(\gamma,\delta,d) = D(\gamma,\delta) + \lambda_R R(\gamma,\delta) + \lambda_B J_B(\gamma,d),$$

- **Three step iterative optimization:**
 - Step 1 Choose $d^{(t+1)}$ to minimize J_{λ} ($\gamma^{(t)}$, $\delta^{(t)}$, $d^{(t+1)}$)
 - Step 2 Choose $\delta^{(t+1)}$ to minimize J_{λ} ($\gamma^{(t)}$, $\delta^{(t+1)}$, $d^{(t+1)}$)
 - Step 3 Choose $\gamma^{(t+1)}$ to minimize J_{λ} ($\gamma^{(t+1)}$, $\delta^{(t+1)}$, $d^{(t+1)}$)
 - The iterations continue until the desired stoping level for J_{λ} is met



Extension to LTSVQ and Interpretation



Extension of the LVQ approach to Learning TSVQ This step is needed for the full analysis of WTSVQ and its application in progressive classification within the framework of combined compression and classification

LTSVQ approximates directly the optimal Bayes surface with successive approximations and variable (along the surface) resolution

- Split cells where approximation is not very good using finer resolution information
- Akin to a multigrid numerical computation of the Bayes surface 38



SOM -- Kohonen Mapping



We have points \mathbf{x} in the input space mapping to points $I(\mathbf{x})$ in the output space:



Each point I in the output space will map to a corresponding point w(I) in the input space.

SOM can find the manifold on "manifold-localized" data (e.g. data on a sphere, or circle)







Computation of the feature map can be viewed as the first of two stages for adaptively solving a pattern classification problem as shown below. The second stage is provided by the learning vector quantization, which provides a method for fine tuning of a feature map. This is useful and typical for DNN





- J. S. Baras and A. LaVigna, "Convergence of Kohonen's Learning Vector Quantization" *Proceedings of the 1990 IJCNN Conference on Neural Networks*, pp. 476-482, San Diego, CA, June 17-21, 1990
- J.S. Baras and A. LaVigna, "Convergence of a Neural Network Classifier", *Proc. 29th IEEE CDC*, pp. 1735-40, 1990.
- A. LaVigna, Nonparametric Classification Using Learning Vector Quantization, Ph.D. Thesis, EE, UMD, Fall 1989.
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Hierarchical Wavelet Representations of Ship Radar Returns" NRL Report NRL/FR/5755-93-9593.
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Efficient Organization of Large Ship Radar Databases Using Wavelets and Structured Vector Quanitzation" *Proc. 27th Annual Asilomar Conference*, Vol. 1, pp. 491-498, 1993, Pacific Grove, CA.
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Model Based Automatic Target Recognition from High Range Resolution Radar Returns", *Proc. SPIE Intern. Symp. on Intelligent Information Systems*, Vol. 2234, pp. 57-66, Orlando, FL, April 5-8, 1994
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Wavelet Based Progressive Classification of High Range Resolution Radar Returns", Proc. SPIE Intern. Symp. on Intelligent Information Systems, Vol. 2242, pp. 967-977, Orlando, FL, April 5-8, 1994



- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Wavelet Based Progressive Classification with Learning: Applications to Radar Signals" *Proc. SPIE 1995 Intern. Symp. Aerospace/ Defense*, Vol. 2491, Part 1 of 2, pp. 339-350, Orlando, Florida, 1995
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Wavelet-Based Hierarchical Organization of Image Data: Applications to ISAR and Face Recognition", *Proc. Conf. Information Sciences and Systems*, Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, MD, 1997
- J.S. Baras and S.I. Wolk, "Wavelet-Based Hierarchical Organization of Large Image Databases: ISAR and Face Recognition" *Proc. SPIE 12th Intern. Symp. Aerospace, Defense*, Orlando, Florida, April 13-17, 1998.
- J.S. Baras and S. Dey, "Combined Compression and Classification with Learning Vector Quantization", *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, Vol. 45, No. 6, pp. 1911-1920, September 1999.
- J.S. Baras and V.S. Borkar, "A Learning Algorithm for Markov Decision Processes with Adaptive State Aggregation," *Proceedings* 39th *IEEE CDC*, Sydney, 2000.
- J.S. Baras and A.S. Baras, "A Novel Nonparametric Discrimination Measure for Analysis of Gene Expression Data," *SIAM Conference on the Life Sciences*, Portland, July 2004.





Back to Recent / Current Time



Dissimilarity Measures: Bregman Divergences



Theorem. Let $X : \Omega \to S$ be a random variable defined in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathbb{E}[X] \in ri(S)$, and let a distortion measure $d : S \times ri(S) \to [0, \infty)$, where ri(S) denotes the relative interior of S. Then

 $\mu := \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \in \mathop{\arg\min}_{s \in ri(S)} \mathbb{E}\left[d\left(X,s\right)\right]$

is the unique minimizer of $\mathbb{E}[d(X,s)]$ in ri(S), if and only if d is a Bregman divergence for any function ϕ that satisfies the definition.

The Institute for

Recent Proofs: Stochastic VQ



Problem 1. Let $X : \Omega \to S$ be a random variable defined in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $d_{\phi} : S \times ri(S) \to [0, \infty)$ be a Bregman divergence with properly defined function ϕ . Let $V \triangleq \{S_h\}_{h=1}^k$ be a Voronoi partition of S with respect to d_{ϕ} and $M \triangleq \{\mu_h\}_{h=1}^k$, such that $\mu_h \in ri(S_h)$, $h \in K$, $K \triangleq \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and define the quantizer $Q : S \to S$ such that $Q(X) = \sum_{h=1}^k \mu_h \mathbb{1}_{[X \in S_h]}$.

Then the problem is formulated as

$$\begin{split} \min_{M,V} J(Q) &\triangleq \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[d_{\phi} \left(X, Q(X) \right) \right] \\ \Leftrightarrow \min_{\{\mu_{h}\}_{h=1}^{k}} J(Q) &\triangleq \sum_{h=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[d_{\phi} \left(X, \mu_{h} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[X \in S_{h}]} \right], \\ \mu_{h}^{t+1} &= \mu_{h}^{t} + \alpha(t) \left(-\mathbb{1}_{[X_{t+1} \in S_{h}^{t+1}]} \right) \nabla_{\mu_{h}} d_{\phi} \left(X_{t+1}, \mu_{h}^{t} \right) \\ S_{h}^{t+1} &= \left\{ X \in S : h = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\tau=1,\dots,k} d_{\phi}(X, \mu_{\tau}^{t}) \right\}, h \in K \end{split}$$

$$(3)$$

$$\dot{\mu}(t) = \theta\left(\mu(t)\right), t \geq 0, \end{split}$$

 $\theta(\mu) = -\nabla_{\mu}J(\mu)$

Theorem 3. The sequence $\{\mu^t\}$ generated by the stochastic vector quantization algorithm (3) converges almost surely to a local solution μ^* of Problem 1, as long as the function ϕ satisfies Assumption 1, the stepsizes satisfy $\sum_t \alpha(t) = \infty$, $\sum_t \alpha^2(t) < \infty$, and μ^t visits a compact subset of the domain of attraction D^* of μ^* infinitely often, $\mu^0 \in D^*$.

C. N. Mavridis and J. S. Baras, Convergence of Stochastic Vector Quantization and Learning Vector Quant. with Bregman Divergences, 2019 45





Problem 2. Let $\{X, c\} \in S \times \{0, 1\}$ defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), X : \Omega \to S$ be a random variable, and $c : S \to \{0, 1\}$ its associated decision variable, such that c represents the actual class of X. Let $V \triangleq \{S_h\}_{h=1}^k$ be a Voronoi partition of S with respect to d_{ϕ} and $M \triangleq \{\mu_h\}_{h=1}^k, \mu_h \in ri(S_h)$, and define $C_{\mu} \triangleq \{c_{\mu_h}\}_{h=1}^k, c_{\mu_h} \in \{0, 1\}, h \in K, K = \{1, \dots, k\},$ such that c_{μ_h} represents the class of μ_h for all $h \in K$. Define the quantizer $Q : S \to \{0, 1\}$ such that $Q(X) = \sum_{h=1}^k c_{\mu_h} \mathbb{1}_{[X \in S_h]}$.

The minimum-error classification problem is then formulated as

$$\min_{\substack{\mu_h\}_{h=1}^k}} J_B(Q) \triangleq \pi_1 \sum_{H_0} \mathbb{P}_1 \left[X \in S_h \right] + \pi_0 \sum_{H_1} \mathbb{P}_0 \left[X \in S_h \right]$$
$$= \pi_i + \sum_{H_i} \left(\pi_j \mathbb{P}_j \left[X \in S_h \right] - \pi_i \mathbb{P}_i \left[X \in S_h \right] \right)$$

where $\pi_i = \mathbb{P}[c = i], \mathbb{P}_i \{\cdot\} = \mathbb{P}\{\cdot | c = i\}, and H_i is defined as H_i = \{h \in \{1, ..., k\} : c_{\mu_h} = i\}, i, j \in \{0, 1\}, i \neq j.$

$$\mu_{h}^{t+1} = \mu_{h}^{t} + \alpha(t)\Theta_{h}(\mu^{t}, C_{\mu}^{t}, X_{t+1}, c_{t+1})\nabla_{\mu_{h}}d_{\phi}(X_{t+1}, \mu_{h}^{t})$$

$$S_{h}^{t+1} = \left\{ X \in S : h = \underset{\tau=1,...,k}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} d_{\phi}(X, \mu_{\tau}^{t}) \right\}, \ h = 1, \dots, k$$

$$\Theta_{h}(\mu, C_{\mu}, X, c) = \left(-\mathbb{1}_{[X \in S_{h}]}\right) \left(\mathbb{1}_{[c=c_{\mu_{\tau}}]} - \mathbb{1}_{[c \neq c_{\mu_{\tau}}]}\right) \nabla_{\mu_{h}}d_{\phi}(X, \mu_{h})$$

C. N. Mavridis and J. S. Baras, Convergence of Stochastic Vector Quantization and Learning Vector Quant. with Bregman Divergences, 2019 46



Recent Proofs: LVQ



$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(t) = \boldsymbol{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\right), \ t \geq 0,$$

 $\theta(\mu) = -\nabla_{\mu}J_L(\mu)$

and
$$J_L(\mu) \triangleq \sum_{h=1}^k J_h(\mu)$$
, where it is easy to show that

$$J_L = \sum_{h=1}^k \delta_{\mu_h} \left(\pi_0 \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\mathbbm{1}_{[X \in S_h]} d_\phi(X, \mu_h) \right] - \pi_1 \mathbb{E}_1 \left[\mathbbm{1}_{[X \in S_h]} d_\phi(X, \mu_h) \right] \right)$$

$$= J(Q) - 2J_{d_\phi}(Q)$$
with $J(Q) = \sum_{h=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left[d_\phi(X, \mu_h) \mathbbm{1}_{[X \in S_h]} \right]$ being the quantization
error, and
 $J_{d_\phi}(Q) = \pi_1 \sum_{H_0} \mathbb{E}_1 \left[d_\phi(X, \mu_h) \mathbbm{1}_{[X \in S_h]} \right] + \pi_0 \sum_{H_1} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[d_\phi(X, \mu_h) \mathbbm{1}_{[X \in S_h]} \right]$

being the minimum risk error associated with the risk function

Theorem 4. The sequence $\{\mu^t\}$ generated by the learning vector quantization algorithm (13) converges almost surely to a solution μ^* of Problem 2, as $k = k_t \to \infty$, provided that $\lim_{t\to\infty} k_t^2 \frac{\log t}{t} \to 0$, $\sum_t \alpha(t) = \infty$, $\sum_t \alpha^2(t) < \infty$, μ^t visits a compact subset of the domain of attraction D^* of μ^* infinitely often, $\mu^0 \in D^*$, $\sup_t \|\mu^t\| < \infty$ a.s., and the function ϕ satisfies Assumption 1.





Progressive Learning for Cyber-Physical Systems

- Goal: Hierarchically Approximate Optimal Solutions
- optimal control
- motion planning
- function approximation
- reinforcement learning
- game policies
- clustering/classification







Progressive Learning for Cyber-Physical Systems

> Divide and Conquer

Partition the space and use local models







Progressive Learning for Cyber-Physical Systems

> Divide and Conquer

Partition the space and use local models







- Observations: $X^N:=\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N,\,x_i\in S$ realizations of a r.v. $X\in S$
- Codevectors: $\mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^M, \ \mu_i \in S$ domain of a r.v. $Q \in S$ defined by: $p(\mu_i | x) = \mathbb{P}\left[Q = \mu_i | X = x\right]$
- Dissimilarity: $d:S imes S o [0,\infty)$







- Observations: $X^N := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, \, x_i \in S$ realizations of a r.v. $X \in S$
- Codevectors: $\mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^M, \ \mu_i \in S$ domain of a r.v. $Q \in S$ defined by: $p(\mu_i | x) = \mathbb{P}[Q = \mu_i | X = x]$
- Dissimilarity: $d: S \times S \rightarrow [0,\infty)$







- Observations: $X^N := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, \, x_i \in S$ realizations of a r.v. $X \in S$
- Codevectors: $\mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^M, \ \mu_i \in S$ domain of a r.v. $Q \in S$ defined by: $p(\mu_i | x) = \mathbb{P}\left[Q = \mu_i | X = x\right]$
- Dissimilarity: $d:S imes S o [0,\infty)$







- Observations: $X^N := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, \, x_i \in S$ realizations of a r.v. $X \in S$
- Codevectors: $\mu = \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^M, \ \mu_i \in S$ domain of a r.v. $Q \in S$ defined by: $p(\mu_i | x) = \mathbb{P}\left[Q = \mu_i | X = x\right]$
- Dissimilarity: $d:S imes S o [0,\infty)$



Problem Formulation

Solve:
$$\begin{split} \min_{\mu} \ F_T &:= D - TH \quad \text{for decreasing values of T.} \\ & \text{where} \quad \text{Distortion:} \quad D(X,Q) := \mathbb{E}\left[d\left(X,Q\right)\right] = \int p(x) \sum_i p(\mu_i|x) d_\phi(x,\mu_i) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \text{Entropy:} \quad H(X,Q) := \mathbb{E}\left[-\log P(X,Q)\right] = H(X) - \int p(x) \sum_i p(\mu_i|x) \log p(\mu_i|x) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \text{Lagrange Coefficient:} \quad T \quad \text{Controls Tradeoff} \\ & \text{Simulates Annealing Optimization} \\ & \text{Triggers Bifurcation (finds number of codevectors)} \end{split}$$

Mavridis, Baras, Online Deterministic Annealing for Classification and Clustering, IEEE TNNLS 2022. Mavridis, Baras, Annealing Optimization for Progressive Learning with Stochastic Approximation, IEEE TAC 2022.





Solving the Optimization Problem $\min F_T := D - TH$

• Lemma. The solution to
$$F^*(\mu) := \min_{\{p(\mu_i|x)\}} F(\mu)$$

s.t. $\sum_i p(\mu_i|x) = 1$, is given by the Gibbs distributions
 $p^*(\mu_i|x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{d(x,\mu_i)}{T}}}{\sum_j e^{-\frac{d(x,\mu_j)}{T}}}, \ \forall x \in S.$

▶ **Theorem.** The solution to
$$\min_{\mu} F^*(\mu)$$
 is given by

$$\mu_i^* = \mathbb{E}\left[X|\mu_i\right] = \frac{\int x p(x) p^*(\mu_i|x) \, dx}{p^*(\mu_i)}$$

if $d := d_{\phi}$ is a <u>Bregman divergence</u>. (sufficient condition)

e.g., squared Euclidean distance, KL divergence, ...





Solving the Optimization Problem $\min F_T := D - TH$

Theorem. The recursive training rule

 $\sigma_n \to \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{[\mu]}X\right]$

 $\rho_n \to \mathbb{P}[\mu]$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_i(n+1) &= \rho_i(n) + \alpha(n) \left[\hat{p}(\mu_i | x_n) - \rho_i(n) \right] \\ \sigma_i(n+1) &= \sigma_i(n) + \alpha(n) \left[x_n \hat{p}(\mu_i | x_n) - \sigma_i(n) \right] \end{cases}$$

where the quantities $\hat{p}(\mu_i|x_n)$ and $\mu_i(n)$ are recursively updated as follows:

$$\hat{p}(\mu_i | x_n) = \frac{\rho_i(n) e^{-\frac{d(x_n, \mu_i(n))}{T}}}{\sum_i \rho_i(n) e^{-\frac{d(x_n, \mu_i(n))}{T}}}$$
$$\mu_i(n) = \frac{\sigma_i(n)}{\rho_i(n)},$$

converges almost surely to a possibly sample path dependent solution of the optimization $\min_{\mu} F^*(\mu)$, as $n \to \infty$.

Stochastic Approximation: Gradient-Free !





Bifurcation and the number of codevectors

• Sequentially solve: $\min F_{T_{\infty}} := D - T_{\infty}H$

 $\min F_{T_0} := D - T_0 H$, $T_i < T_{i+1}$: Decreasing Temperature

- ▶ **Remark.** As $T \to \infty$, we get $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$, $\forall i, i.e., one unique pseudo-input.$
- ▶ **Remark.** As T is lowered below a <u>critical value</u>, a <u>bifurcation</u> phenomenon occurs, and the number of pseudo-inputs increases.







Algorithmic Implementation





When Converged: **Detect Bifurcation**

Lower T

Detect Bifurcation by perturbing the codevectors

Will merge or separate \rightarrow Critical Temperatures





Training Local Models: Two-Timescale Stochastic Approximation



Mavridis, Baras, et al., Gaussian Process Regression using Progressively Growing Learning Representations, IEEE CDC 2022. Mavridis, Baras, Annealing Optimization for Progressive Learning with Stochastic Approximation, IEEE TAC 2022.





Training Local Models: Two-Timescale Stochastic Approximation



https://github.com/MavridisChristos/OnlineDeterministicAnnealing





Multi-Resolution Hierarchical Learning



Mavridis, Baras, Multi-Resolution Online Deterministic Annealing: A Hierarchical and Progressive Learning Architecture [under review]. Mavridis, Baras, Towards the One Learning Algorithm Hypothesis: A System-theoretic Approach [under review].





Bifurcation and the number of Codevectors

• Theorem. Bifurcation occurs under the following condition

$$\exists y_n \ s.t. \ p(y_n) > 0 \ and \ \det\left[I - T \frac{\partial^2 \phi(y_n)}{\partial y_n^2} C_{x|y_n}\right] = 0$$

where $C_{x|y_n} := \mathbb{E}\left[(x - y_n)(x - y_n)^{\mathrm{T}}|y_n\right]$. *Proof.* From variational calculus and the second order condition:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2}F^*(\{\mu + \epsilon\psi\})|_{\epsilon=0} \ge 0$$

• T_c depends on:

- The Bregman divergence
- The data space •





Algorithmic Implementation & Open-Source Code



https://github.com/MavridisChristos/OnlineDeterministicAnnealing





Why Maximum Entropy?

Bifurcation: Progressively grow set of models

> Jayne's Maximum Entropy Principle

- Most "Unbiased" estimator: each sub-problem induces "good" initial conditions for the next
- Duality (Legendre-type) and Regularization:

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \log \mathbb{E}_{P_{\mu}} \left[e^{\beta Z} \right] = \inf_{P_{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{P_{\nu}} \left[Z \right] - \frac{1}{\beta} D_{KL}(P_{\nu}, P_{\mu}) \right\}, \ \beta < 0$$

$$\min F_{T} \simeq \min \frac{1}{\beta} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta D} \right], \ \beta = -\frac{1}{T}$$
Risk-Sensitivity
$$\frac{1}{\beta} \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta J} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[J \right] + \frac{\beta}{2} \operatorname{Var} \left[J \right] + O(\beta^{2})$$

• Robustness w.r.t. initial conditions, input perturbations.

Mavridis, Baras, et al., Risk Sensitivity and Entropy Regularization in Prototype-based Learning, IEEE MED 2022.





<u>Clustering</u>



- "Progressively" finds number of clusters
- Much fewer samples than k-means
- Online!





Classification

Data set	ODA	SVM	NN	RF
GAUSSIAN	98.9 ± 0.0	79.5±0.0	98.6±0.0	98.7±0.
CREDIT (F1)	90.7 ± 0.0 95.6 ± 0.0	69.1 ± 0.2	92.7 ± 0.0 58.9 ± 0.1	$94.0\pm0.62.8\pm0.62.8\pm0.62.8\pm0.62.8\pm0.62.8\pm0.62.8\pm0.66666666666666666666666666666666666$
PIMA	$70.5 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$62.9 \scriptstyle \pm 0.0$	$76.3 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	74.4 ± 0.2

Unbalanced Dataset Other models cannot generalize

Regression







Hierarchical Multi-Resolution Learning





x

 \succ Constructive

Provably Consistent

 $\circ~$ Speed depends on probability density

Localization

- $\circ~$ Emphasis on regions with high error
- > Asynchronous/Parallel Computation
- ▶ Complexity: $O(|C|^2 + |C| \log_{|C|} K) \ll O(K^2)$
- ▶ Non-binary Tree: $|C| > 2 \rightarrow \log_{|C|} K < \log_2 K$
- > Online Observations!

• Mavridis, Baras, Multi-Resolution Online Deterministic Annealing: A Hierarchical and Progressive Learning Architecture [under review].





Hierarchical Multi-Resolution Learning



Mavridis, Baras, Towards the One Learning Algorithm Hypothesis: A System-theoretic Approach [arXiv:2112.02256]







Toy Example. Evolution in 2D.

DATA SET	ODA	SVM	NN	RF	_	
GAUSSIAN	$98.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$79.5 \scriptstyle \pm 0.0$	$98.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$98.7 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$		
WBCD	90.7 ± 0.0	$85.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	92.7 ± 0.0	$94.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$		
CREDIT (F1)	$95.6 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	69.1 ± 0.2	$58.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.1}$	62.8 ± 0.1		Unbalanced Dataset
PIMA	$70.5 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$62.9 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$76.3 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$	$74.4 {\scriptstyle \pm 0.0}$		Other models cannot generaliz

Classification accuracies in 5-fold cross-validation for 4 datasets*.





• Toy Examples





Evolution of multi-resolution ODA in 1D (first principal component) and 2D.









Algorithm 2 Multi-Resolution ODA Algorithm

Set temperature schedule: $\overline{T} = \{\overline{T}_{\tilde{l}}, \overline{T}_{\tilde{l}-1}, \dots, \overline{T}_0\}, \underline{T} =$ $\left\{\underline{T}_{\tilde{l}}, \underline{T}_{\tilde{l}-1}, \dots, \underline{T}_{0}\right\}$ Initialize $\nu_0^{(0)}, M_{\nu_0}, V_{\nu_0}$. repeat Observe data point (X, c) $w = \nu_0, l = l, x = X_{\tilde{l}}$ while $C(w) \neq \emptyset$ do $w = v \in C(w)$ such that $x \in S_v$ l = l - 1 $x = X_l$ end while Update M_w using Alg. 1 in S_w with $(T_{max} = \overline{T}_l, T_{min} =$ T_l if ODA in S_w converged and l < l then Split w to C(w) with respect to V_w end if until Convergence











ystems A Universal Learning Architecture (revisited)














Scattering Convolutional Network









- **Robust ML** against missing data, noise, attacks
- Face recognition
- **Simultaneous** sound direction of arrival, instrument paying, note playing (or person speaking, vowel identification)
- Robust Reinforcement Learning
- CPS Security
- Robotics & Multi-Agent Systems
- Learning with Progressively Growing Knowledge Representations for Decision-Making Systems
- Towards a Neuroscience-inspired Universal Learning Algorithm: Hierarchical, Memory-based, Progressive, Interpretable, Robust
- Adaptive Space Aggregation for

Memory-Efficient Reinforcement Learning in Robot Control

- Progressive Graph Partitioning and Image Segmentation
- Community detection on graphs



 Hardware implementation via hybrid (digital and neuromorphic) chips





Explainable Reinforcement Learning



<u>Optimal Control Problem:</u> Given an MDP $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{P}, C) \quad \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ solve: $\min_{u} J(u) := \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} \gamma^{l} C(x_{l}, u_{l}) \right] \Big|_{\tau=0} := Q(x_{\tau}, u_{\tau}) \Big|_{\tau=0}$

- **Q-Learning** $Q_{j+1}(x, u') = Q_j(x, u') + \alpha_j [C(x, u') + \gamma \min_u Q_j(x', u) Q_j(x, u')]$
 - Assumes Discrete Space
 - Is a stochastic approximation algorithm
- Ad hoc discretization → Adaptive State/Action Aggregation with ODA





Explainable Reinforcement Learning



<u>Optimal Control Problem:</u> Given an MDP $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{P}, C) \quad \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ solve: $\min_{u} J(u) := \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} \gamma^{l} C(x_{l}, u_{l}) \right] \Big|_{\tau=0} := Q(x_{\tau}, u_{\tau}) \Big|_{\tau=0}$

- **Q-Learning** $Q_{j+1}(x, u') = Q_j(x, u') + \alpha_j [C(x, u') + \gamma \min_u Q_j(x', u) Q_j(x, u')]$
 - Assumes Discrete Space
 - Is a stochastic approximation algorithm
- Ad hoc discretization → Adaptive State/Action Aggregation with ODA
- Stochastic Approximation in Two Timescales
 - Fast Component: Q-Learning
 - Slow Component: ODA

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + \alpha(n) \left[f(x_n, y_n) + M_{n+1}^{(x)} \right] \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + \beta(n) \left[g(x_n, y_n) + M_{n+1}^{(y)} \right] \\ , \frac{\beta(n)}{\alpha(n)} \to 0 \end{cases}$$



Mavridis, Baras, Maximum-Entropy Progressive State Aggregation for Reinforcement Learning, IEEE CDC 2021. Mavridis, Baras, Annealing Optimization for Progressive Learning with Stochastic Approximation, IEEE TAC 2022.





Risk-Sensitive Reinforcement Learning



Noorani, Baras, et al., Risk-Sensitive Policy-Gradient Reinforcement Learning with Exponential Criteria [under review]. Noorani, Baras, et al., Risk-Sensitive Reinforcement Learning for Coordination Games [under review].





Application in Robotics & Multi-Agent Systems

Swarm Coordination Laws and Leader Detection



• Application: Defense against adversarial UAV swarm attacks

Mavridis, Baras, et al., Detection of Dynamically Changing Leaders in Complex Swarms from Observed Dynamic Data, Springer 2020. Mavridis, Baras, et al., Learning Swarm Interaction Dynamics from Density Evolution, IEEE TCNS.





Application in Robotics & Multi-Agent Systems

Community Detection on Graphs

- Adaptive Spectral Clustering
 - ODA on spectral clustering features
 - Distributed approximation of spectral clustering features

Cyber-Physical Security: Attack Identification in Dynamic Games

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + K_i d_i(t), \ x(0) = x_0, \ t \ge 0$$
$$V(x) = \min_u \max_d \int_t^\infty \left(x^{\mathrm{T}} Q x + u^{\mathrm{T}} R u - \gamma^2 \|d\|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Tractable Solution: Bounded Rationality + Attack Identification

Mavridis, Baras, Progressive Graph Partitioning Based on Information Diffusion, IEEE CDC 2021.

Mavridis, Baras, et al., Attack Identification for Cyber-Physical Security in Dynamic Games under Cognitive Hierarchy [under review].

Application in Graph Partitioning





- Spectral Clustering → Graph Cuts, Image Segmentation
- Distributed approximation of spectral clustering features
 - Simulated heat diffusion on graphs

$$u_i(t+1) = u_i(t) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} L_{ij} u_j(t)$$



- Adaptive Spectral Clustering using ODA
 - Progressively growing model (adjusts number of clusters)
 - Online Learning (no need for graph knowledge a priori)
 - Avoids poor local minima



 * Mavridis and Baras, Progressive Graph Partitioning Based on Information Diffusion, CDC 2021



The nstitute for







Information Diffusion on Graphs

• Discretized Heat equation on graphs: $u_i(t+1) = u_i(t) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}(i)} L_{ij} u_j(t)$

Solution:
$$u_i(t) = c_1 + (1 - \lambda_2)^t \hat{v}_i^{(2)} + \ldots + (1 - \lambda_N)^t \hat{v}_i^{(N)}$$

where $|(1 - \lambda_i)| < 1$, for $i = 2, \ldots, N$









Future Directions: Advancing Al and ML – our Approach



- Rigorous Mathematics for Deep Networks Universal Architecture emerging
- Non von-Neumann computing do not separate CPU form Memory – Synaptic NN, in-memory processing
- Universal ML -- Integrate Deep NN and Synaptic NN
- Knowledge Representation and Reasoning: Integrate Knowledge Graphs and Semantic Vector Spaces
- Progressive Learning, Knowledge Compacting
- Link Machine Learning with Knowledge Representation and Reasoning



Future Directions



¹ > Hierarchical and Safe Decision-Making

- Progressively transition from fast sub-optimal to optimal solutions
- o Constructing hierarchical and invariant data representations

² > Risk-Sensitive & Explainable Reinforcement Learning

- Connection to Robust Control
- \circ Explainable Policies \rightarrow Error Correction
- Partially-Observable Systems using the "information state"

³ > Network Dynamics and Structure

- Importance of Leaders and Self-Organization
- Heterogeneous Graph Consensus / Decentralized Auctions (Traffic Control)
- o Distributed Learning

⁴ > Coordination Games

- o Risk-Sensitivity and Trust in Coordination Game Equilibria
- Signaling (Implicit Communication) and Optimal Control

⁵ Intelligent Transportation

- Mixed-Traffic Control
- $\circ~$ Real-time Communication-based CAV Consensus for optimal decisions

⁶ Human-Robot Interaction (& Collaboration)

- Safety, Real-time Adaptation
- $\circ~$ Learning from Human Demonstration

7 Augment Human Decision Makers with Machine Intelligence

- o Interpretable Learning models
- o Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Situational awareness, e.g., assistive robotics, battlefield applications



- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Online Deterministic Annealing for Classification and Clustering", *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pp. 1-10, Online: Jan. 7, 2022. DOI: <u>10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3138676</u>
- C. Mavridis, A. Tirumalai, J.S. Baras, "Learning Swarm Interaction Dynamics from Density Evolution", *IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems*, pp. 1-12, DOI: 10.1109/TCNS.2022.3198784, August 16, 2022
- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Annealing Optimization for Progressive Learning with Stochastic Approximation", submitted to *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (IEEE TAC)*.
- I. Matei, C.N. Mavridis, J.S. Baras & M. Zhenirovskyy, "Inferring Particle Interaction Physical Models and Their Dynamical Properties", *Proc. 2019 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pp. 4615-4621, Nice, France, December 11-13, 2019.
- I. Matei, M. M. Zhenirovskyy, J. de Kleer, C. Somarakis, and J.S. Baras, "Learning Physical Laws: The Case of Micron Size Particles in Dielectric Fluid", *Proceedings of the 2020 American Control Conference*, pp. 2949-2954, Denver, CO, July 1-3, 2020.
- C.N. Mavridis, and J.S. Baras, "Convergence of Stochastic Vector Quantization and Learning Vector Quantization under Bregman Divergences," *Proc.* 21st Intern. Fed. of Autom. Control World Congress (IFAC 2020), pp. 2244-2249, Berlin, July 12-17, 2020.



- C. Mavridis, N. Suriyarachchi, and J.S. Baras, "Detection of Dynamically Changing Leaders in Complex Swarms from Observed Dynamic Data", *Proceedings of the GameSec 2020 Conference on Decision andGame Theory for Security*", LCNS 12513, pp. 223-240, Virtual (UMD), October 28-30, 2020.
- C. Mavridis, A. Tirumalai, and J. S. Baras, "Learning Interaction Dynamics from Particle Trajectories and Density Evolution", *Proceedings of the 59th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 1014-1019, Jeju Island, Republic of Korea, December 8-11, 2020.
- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Vector Quantization for Adaptive State Aggregation in Reinforcement Learning", *Proceedings of the 2021 American Control Conference*, pp. 2187-2192, New Orleans, LA, May 26-28, 2021
- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Maximum-Entropy Progressive State Aggregation for Reinforcement Learning," *Proceedings 60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control* (*CDC 2021*), Invited Session on "Learning with Guarantees in Control and Decisionmaking", pp. 5144-5149, Austin, TX, December 13-15, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/CDC45484.2021.9682927.
- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Progressive Graph Partitioning Based on Information Diffusion", *Proceedings of the 60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC 2021)*, pp. 37-42, Austin, TX, December 13-15, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/CDC45484.2021.9682799.



- C.N. Mavridis, E. Noorani, and J.S. Baras, Risk Sensitivity and Entropy Regularization I Prototype-based Learning," *Proceedings 30th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED 2022)*, pp. 194-199, Athens, Greece, June 28 - July 1, 2022.
- C.N. Mavridis, G.P. Kontoudis, and J.S. Baras, "Sparse Gaussian Process Regression using Progressively Growing Learning Representations", *Proc. 61st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC 2022)*, pp. 1454-1459, Cancun, Mexico, Dec. 6-9, 2022.
- E. Noorani, C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Exponential TD Learning: A Risk-Sensitive Actor-Critic Reinforcement Learning Algorithm", *to appear Proc. 2023 American Control Conference*, San Diego, CA, May 31 June 2, 2023.
- C. N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Multi-Resolution Online Deterministic Annealing: A Hierarchical and Progressive Learning Architecture [under review].
- C.N. Mavridis and J.S. Baras, "Towards the One Learning Algorithm Hypothesis: A Systemtheoretic Approach [under review].





Thank you!

baras@umd.edu 301-405-6606

https://johnbaras.com/

